

DR. COLLEEN EBERSOHN

PhD Univ. Pretoria

Cell:072 222 6013

e-mail: colleen@ecoroute.co.za

MS. JANET EBERSOHN

. .. ...

Cell: 082 557 7122 Tell: 044 343 2232

e-mail: janet@ecoroute.co.za

Bsc. Hons. Environmental Management

# **DBAR - Appendix G1 - Site Sensitivity Verification Report**

# Proposed expansion of development footprint on Residential Erf 1220 located within 100 meters of the high-water mark of the sea, St Francis Bay, Kouga Local Municipality EC08/C/LN1/M/51-2024

A residential house is in place on Erf 1220 located at 63 Esmaralda Road, St Francis Bay. Erf 1220 is approximately 1192 m2 in extent and falls within 100 meters of the high-water mark of the sea. Owners of residential Erf 1220 are proposing to extend the development footprint; a pool and decking, garage and additional living space are proposed which is an estimated 178m2 footprint. The proposed development triggers Activities in Listing Notice 1 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 (as amended, 2017) published in terms of the national Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) (NEMA) and therefore requires an environmental authorisation to be issued by the competent authority before development can commence.

A screening tool has been developed by the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and Environmental Affairs (DFFE). The Screening Tool identifies related exclusions and/or specific requirements including specialist studies applicable to the proposed site and/or development, based on the national sector classification and the environmental sensitivity of the site.

A Screening Report referred to in Regulation 16(1)(v) of the EIA Regulations 2014, must accompany any application for Environmental Authorisation. A screening report was generated for the proposed project and the following environmental sensitivities are identified:

- Animal species theme: Medium sensitivity
- Aquatic biodiversity theme: Very Low sensitivity
- Archaeological and Cultural Heritage theme: Very Low sensitivity
- Civil aviation theme: High sensitivity
- Defence theme: Low sensitivity
- Palaeontology theme: Low sensitivity
- Plant species theme: Medium sensitivity.
- Terrestrial biodiversity theme: Low Sensitivity

The following specialist studies are deemed necessary:

Terrestrial biodiversity including flora and fauna SCC and relevant aquatic features

The verification of sensitivities and the motivation for inclusion / exclusion of specialist studies are outlined in Table 1.



DR. COLLEEN EBERSOHN

PhD Univ. Pretoria

Cell:072 222 6013

e-mail: colleen@ecoroute.co.za

MS. JANET EBERSOHN

Bsc. Hons. Environmental Management

Cell: 082 557 7122 Tell: 044 343 2232

e-mail: janet@ecoroute.co.za

Table 1: Verification of environmental sensitivity identified in DFFE screening tool report

| Theme                                      | Environmental         | Verification of | Description  |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
|  | sensitivity as        | environmental   |  |
|  | per screening         | sensitivity     |  |
|  | tool report           |                 |  |
| Animal Species                             | Medium<br>sensitivity | Low sensitivity | Sensitive fauna species included in the screening to <i>Aneuryphymus montanus</i> (Yellow-winged Agile Grasshopper) and SS8. The species is associated with fynbos vegetation; It prefers south-facing cool slopes (Kinvig 2005) (SANBI). Erf 1220 is entirely transformed with no suitable habitat; the erf is directly adjacent to intact dune thicket vegetation and the coastal environment. No Endangered or Critically fauna species were found to be present nor are known to be present in close proximity to the affected area or are likely to be directly affected by the proposed activity. The site falls within the general distribution range of a single faunal SCC. Sensitivity of fauna on the development site is verified as low. Impacts on fauna have been addressed in the assessment; no specific specialist study is deemed to be required. The terrestrial biodiversity compliance statement includes animal species. No further studies are deemed necessary. |
| Aquatic<br>Biodiversity                    | Low                   | Low             | The site is located in the fish to Tsitsikamma water management area; within the K90E quaternary catchment. The Krom Estuary is located approximately 4 km north of the site. Mean annual precipitation is between 600 and 800 mm/year; Rainfall occurs all year round, with peaks during the summer months. The site is not located within 100 meters of watercourses / within 500 meters of wetlands. The site is not located in a Strategic Water Source Area or within a Freshwater Ecosystems Priority Area (FEPA). No watercourses (including rivers, drainage lines and wetlands) occur on the property. The terrestrial biodiversity compliance statement includes aquatic biodiversity features. No further studies are deemed necessary  |
| Archaeological<br>and Cultural<br>Heritage | Low sensitivity       | Low sensitivity | The SBDM coastal zone is rich in archaeological, heritage and historical resources. The coastal zone between Klasies River in the west and Krom River in the east is one of the richest and most significant archaeological cultural landscapes in South Africa. The headland bypass dunefields between Oyster Bay and the Kromme River mouth are underlain by   |
| Paleontological                            | Low sensitivity       | Low sensitivity | ferricretes, calcretes and fossilized dune sands which are situated on top of Table Mountain Sandstones. Due to the continuous movement of the dunes, many archaeological and paleontological sites are exposed while simultaneously others are covered (Binneman and Reichert, 2017; Draft SBDM CMP, 2019). Relatively large piles of marine shells (referred to as 'strandloper middens') dating back 600 years are found in the Kouga LM coastal zone, mostly within 300 m of the high water mark of the sea but can occur up to 5 km inland.  A Notice of intention to develop has been submitted to the Eastern Cape Provincial Heritage Resources Authority; the ECPHRA have no objections to the proposed development; Impacts have been addressed in the assessment;   |



DR. COLLEEN EBERSOHN

PhD Univ. Pretoria

Cell:072 222 6013

e-mail: colleen@ecoroute.co.za

MS. JANET EBERSOHN

Bsc. Hons. Environmental Management

Cell: 082 557 7122 Tell: 044 343 2232

e-mail: janet@ecoroute.co.za

| Theme                                 | Environmental sensitivity as | Verification of environmental | Description  |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
|                                       | per screening                | sensitivity                   |  |
|                                       | tool report                  | ,                             |  |
|                                       |                              |                               | recommendations from the ECPHRA has been included in the EMPr: No specific specialist study is deemed to be required.  |
| Plant Species                         | Medium                       | Low sensitivity               | Erf 1120 is entirely transformed. No flora species protected under the NEMBA – Amendment of Critically Endangered,   |
| Assessment                            | sensitivity                  |                               | Endangered, Vulnerable and Protected Species List (14 December 2007), occur on site. There are several red listed flora species in the surrounding area and vegetation units that are known to have limited distributions. No endemic and range restricted flora species were recorded to be present; several species are known from the surrounding area but were not recorded on the Erf. One protected tree listed under the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998) (updated 8 September 2017), occurs on site. PNCO (Provincial Nature Conservation Ordinance) permits are unlikely to be required, however NFA (National Forests Act) permits would be required should any of the small Milkwood trees (Sideroxylon inerme) require removal at any stage. Sensitivity of fauna on the development site is verified as low. |
|                                       |                              |                               | Impacts on flora have been addressed in the assessment; The terrestrial biodiversity compliance statement includes flora species.  |
| Terrestrial<br>Biodiversity<br>Impact | Low Sensitivity              | Low sensitivity               | In terms of the National Vegetation Map, the site falls within an area mapped as St Francis Dune Thicket which has a Least Concern status (National Biodiversity Assessment, 2022). The site is not located within a mapped CBA (ECBCP) however it is directly adjacent to a terrestrial CBA1 and coastal public property. Sensitivity of terrestrial biodiversity is verified as low. Impacts on terrestrial biodiversity have been addressed in the assessment;  A Compliance Statement has been prepared for terrestrial biodiversity. No further studies are deemed necessary  |
| Socio-<br>Economic                    | NA                           | NA                            | Aspects related to socio-economic impacts will be addressed in the basic assessment, however no specific specialist study is deemed to be required.  |
| Civil Aviation<br>Assessment          | Medium sensitivity           | Low sensitivity               | A civil aviation assessment / compliance statement is excluded as the proposed development will not have an impact on civil aviation aerodrome.  |
| Defence theme                         | Low sensitivity              | Low sensitivity               | A defence them compliance statement is excluded as the proposed development will not have an impact on the defense theme.  |

Fax: 086 402 9562

# DBAR - Appendix G2 – Impact Assessment Methodology

#### IMPACT IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The purpose of impact assessment is to assign a qualified significance to impacts which are predicted to occur as a result of the various aspects of an activity.

The following definitions apply:

- Activity: A distinct process or task undertaken by an organisation for which a responsibility can be assigned. Activities also include facilities or pieces of infrastructure that are possessed by an organisation.
- Environmental aspect: An element of an organisations activities, products and services which can interact with the environment. The interaction of an aspect with the environment may result in an impact.
- Environmental impacts: The consequences of these aspects on environmental resources or receptors of particular value or sensitivity, for example, disturbance due to noise and health effects due to poorer air quality.
- Receptors: Comprise, but are not limited to, people or human-made systems, such as local residents, communities and social infrastructure, as well as components of the biophysical environment such as aquifers, flora and paleontology.

#### **Aspects**

Aspects associated with the proposed project are differentiated into construction and operation phases of the project. The nature of the impact is described. Once this has been undertaken the significance of the impact is determined.

#### Identifying significant environmental impacts

The significant environmental impacts are identified using three sources of information:

- The nature of the receiving environment (the environment includes the social, cultural and biophysical environment)
- A review and understanding of the aspects associated with the proposed project.
- All comments received from interested and affected parties during the public participation process. The
  issues raised will be described giving consideration to the associated activity and the aspect of that
  activity that is likely to result in an impact.

#### Nature of the impact

Impacts on the environment can lead to changes in existing conditions; the nature of the impact can be direct, indirect or cumulative.

- Direct impacts refer to changes in environmental components that result from direct cause-effect
  consequences of interactions between the environment and project activities. The direct impact is
  caused by the action and occurs at the same time and place.
- Indirect (Secondary) impacts result from cause-effect consequences of interactions between the environment and direct impacts. The indirect impact is caused by the action and occurs later in time or is further removed in distance.
- Cumulative impacts refer to the combined effect of changes to the environment caused by multiple human activities over space and time. Cumulative impact is the sum of existing conditions and the direct / indirect impacts resulting from the project. Example: A single cut in the forest is unlikely to have a detectable change, however increasing multiple cuts in the forest caused by a number of human

activities is likely to decrease fauna and flora and increase soil erosion. Cumulative effects can thus be additive or synergistic. A synergistic effect refers to when the combined effect is greater than the sum of individual effects.

#### Method for assessing the overall significance of impacts

The overall significance of the impact is critical for defining mitigation and monitoring strategies. The qualified significance of predicted impacts assists to determine the manner in which aspects should be managed in order to avoid or minimise the predicted impacts.

Overall significance of the impacts is determined through systematically rating the following criteria of the impacts:

- The status of the impact
- The spatial extent of the impact
- The severity of negativity or degree of positivity of the impact
  - o The duration of the impact
  - The frequency of the impact
  - o The intensity of the impact
- The consequence of the impact
- The probability of the impact occurring

#### **Impact Status**

A qualitative rating of positive or negative is assigned to impact status. Refer to Table 1 (methodology).

#### **Spatial Extent**

The spatial extent for each aspect, receptor and impact is defined. The geographical coverage (spatial extent) description will take account of the following factors:

- The physical extent / distribution of the aspect
- The physical extent / distribution of the receptor
- The proposed impact as a result of the aspect
- The nature of the baseline environment within the area of impact

For example, the impacts of noise are likely to be confined to a smaller geographical area than the impacts of atmospheric emissions, which may be experienced at some distance. The significance of impacts also varies spatially; noise may be significant in the immediate vicinity. A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. A quantitative value ranging from 1-6 is assigned to the rating. Refer to Table 1 (methodology).

#### Duration

The duration refers to the length of time that an aspect of a proposed project may cause change on the receiving environment. The receiving environment could refer to either the social or cultural or biophysical environment. The change caused may be a positive or negative change. A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. A quantitative value ranging from 1-6 is assigned to the rating.

#### Frequency

The frequency of the impact occurring refers to how often the aspect results in a given impact on the receiving environment. The receiving environment could refer to either the social or cultural or biophysical environment. The impact may be positive or negative. A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. A quantitative value ranging from 1-6 is assigned to the rating.

#### Intensity

The intensity refers to the magnitude of the impact experienced by the receiving environment. The environment could refer to either the social or cultural or biophysical environment. The impact experienced may be a positive or negative impact. A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. A quantitative value ranging from 1-6 is assigned to the rating.

#### Severity / Degree

The severity is the sum of the intensity, duration and frequency of the impact and therefore a quantitative value ranging from 3-18 is assigned to the rating. If the impact is positive, the degree of positivity is determined. A qualitative description is assigned to the rating.

#### Consequence

A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. The consequence is the sum of the Severity (Intensity + Duration + Frequency) and Spatial Extent. Therefore, a quantitative value ranging from 4-24 is assigned to the rating.

#### **Probability**

In order to determine the significance of the impact, the probability of the impact occurring must first be rated. The probability refers to the likelihood that an impact will result from the aspect in question. A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. A quantitative value ranging from 1 - 6 is assigned to the rating.

#### **Overall Significance**

A definition of a "significant impact" for the purposes of the study is: "An impact which, either in isolation or in combination with others, could, in the opinion of the specialist, have a material influence on the decision-making process, including the specification of mitigating measures."

A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. The significance is the sum of the Consequence and Probability. Therefore a quantitative value ranging from 5 - 30 is assigned to the rating. A value of 5, 6 or 7 represents a low significance and described as "not harmful". A value of 30 presents a Very High Significance and is described as an "environmental disaster".

#### Mitigation

The Mitigation ratings are described qualitatively according to the success and feasibility of the mitigation option in question. The impacts are further rated before and after mitigation / management options. Negative impacts are assessed with mitigation measures in place in order to give an overall significance rating with mitigation in place. Positive impacts are assessed with management measures in place in order to give an overall significance rating with management in place.

#### Confidence

The confidence of the EAP is assigned a qualitative value.

Table 1: Impact Assessment Rating methodology

|             | Impact Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Rating      | Negative  | Positive  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description | An impact is rated negative if any degree of negative change will occur in the receiving environment as a result of any aspect of the proposed project. | An impact is rated positive if any degree of positive change will occur in the receiving environment as a result of any aspect of the proposed project. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | The environment refers to the social environment or the cultural environment or the biophysical environment.  | The environment refers to the social environment or the cultural environment or the biophysical environment.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Negative impacts are | to be avoided | , minimised, or |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| mitigated.           |               |                 |

Positive impacts are to be enhanced.

# Scale (Spatial Extent)

Refers to the spatial area the aspect will impact on the environment. The impact may be positive or negative.

| Rating      | Activity specific   | Site specific  | Local area<br>Specific  | Municipal  | Provincial /<br>National  | International                             |
|-------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| Description | Impact only<br>experienced on<br>area where<br>activity is<br>located | Impact extends<br>to the entire site<br>of the project | Impact extends<br>beyond site<br>into<br>surrounding<br>areas | Impact extends<br>beyond local<br>area into<br>municipal areas | Impact extends<br>beyond<br>municipal area<br>into provincial<br>and may extend<br>nationally | Impact extends<br>beyond national<br>area |
| Value       | 1   | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5   | 6   |

#### Duration

Refers to the length of time that the aspect may cause a change on the environment. The change may be positive or negative.

| Rating      | Very Short<br>term  | Short term           | Short -<br>Medium term  | Medium term              | Medium - Long<br>term | Long term                   |
|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Description | 1 day to 3<br>month | 3 months to one year | One year to three years | Three years to ten years | Life of operation     | Extends beyond post closure |
| Value       | 1                   | 2                    | 3                       | 4                        | 5                     | 6                           |

# Frequency

Refers to how often the aspect may impact on the environment.

The impact may be positive or negative.

| Rating      | Rarely                  | Infrequent                     | Seldom  | Regular | Often | Continuously |
|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------|-------|--------------|
| Description | Could occur<br>annually | Could occur<br>within 6 months | Monthly | Weekly  | Daily | Non stop     |
| Value       | 1                       | 2                              | 3       | 4       | 5     | 6            |

# Intensity (Magnitude / Size)

Refers to the intensity of the impact experienced by the receiving environment. The impact may be positive or negative.

| Rating      | Low   | Low to medium  | Medium  | Medium to<br>High   | High  | Very High  |
|-------------|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Description | Low intensity experienced only by receiving environment and / or occurs within 100 metres of activity | Low – medium intensity on receiving environment and / or occurs 100 – 500 metres of activity | Medium intensity on receiving environment and / or occurs 500 – 1000 metres of activity | Medium to high intensity on receiving environment and / or occurs within 1000 – 5000 metres of activity | High intensity<br>on receiving<br>environment<br>and / or occurs<br>within 5000 –<br>10 000 metres<br>of activity | Very high intensity on receiving environment and / or within 10 000 metres or beyond of the activity |
| Value       | 1   | 2  | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6  |

# Severity of negative impact

Severity (Intensity + Duration + Frequency)

The severity of an environmental aspect is determined by the degree of change to the baseline environment, and considers the following:

The reversibility of the negative impact,

The sensitivity of the receptor to the stressor,

The impact duration, its permanency and whether it increases or decreases with time.

| Rating      | Negligible  | Low Negative   | Medium<br>Negative  | Medium - High<br>Negative   | High Negative  | Very High<br>Negative  |
|-------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Description | There will be negligible impact as a result of the aspect | There will be a minor impact as a result of the aspect. This is easily reversible. | The aspect will result in a moderate impact. Reversibility of the impact easy but costly. | The aspect will result in a high impact. Reversibility of the impact possible but costly. | The aspect will result in a high impact. Reversibility of the impact difficult and costly. | The aspect will result in a severe impact. Reversibility of the impact not likely. |
| Value       | 3   | 4-6  | 7-9   | 10-12   | 13-15  | 16-18  |

# Degree of positive impact

Degree (Intensity + Duration + Frequency)

The severity of an environmental aspect is determined by the degree of change to the baseline environment, and considers the following:

The enhancement of the positive impact,

The sensitivity of the receptor to the opportunity,

The impact duration, its permanency and whether it increases or decreases with time.

| Rating      | Negligible  | Low Positive  | Medium<br>Positive                           | Medium High<br>Positive                  | High Positive                            | Very High<br>Positive                                  |
|-------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Description | There will be negligible impact as a result of the aspect | There will be a minor impact as a result of the aspect. | The aspect will result in a moderate impact. | The aspect will result in a high impact. | The aspect will result in a high impact. | The aspect will result in a very high positive impact. |
| Value       | 3   | 4-6   | 7-9  | 10-12                                    | 13-15                                    | 16-18  |

# Negative Consequence

Consequence = (Severity + Spatial extent)

| Rating      | Negligible   | Negative low  | Negative<br>Medium   | Negative<br>Medium High  | Negative High  | Negative Very<br>High   |
|-------------|--|---|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| Description | Impact has insignificant consequence on receiving environment. Requires little or no mitigation. | Impact requires in situ mitigation and receptor mitigation. | Impact<br>requires in situ<br>mitigation and<br>receptor<br>mitigation | Impact requires in situ mitigation, receptor mitigation and repair or restoration. | Impact requires in situ mitigation, receptor mitigation and repair or restoration and possible compensation. | Impact is to be avoided |
| Value       | 4  | 5-8   | 9-12   | 13-16  | 17-20  | 20-24                   |

# Positive Consequence

Consequence = (Degree + Spatial extent)

| Rating      | Negligible   | Positive low   | Positive<br>Medium   | Positive<br>Medium High  | Positive High   | Positive Very<br>High   |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| Description | Impact has insignificant consequence on receiving environment. | Impact has a positive consequence; management required to enhance positive outcomes. | Impact has a positive consequence; management required to enhance positive outcomes. | Impact has a positive consequence; management required to enhance positive outcomes. | Impact has a positive consequence; management required to maintain positive outcomes. | Widespread /<br>substantial<br>beneficial effect.<br>No alternative<br>ways to achieve<br>same benefits.<br>Management<br>required to<br>maintain |

|             |  |   |   |   |                                  | positive outcomes.         |
|-------------|--|---|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Value       | 4  | 5-8   | 9-12  | 13-16   | 17-20                            | 20-24                      |
|             | L  |   | Probability   | <u>l</u>  |                                  |                            |
| Refers      | to the likelihood that                                     | an impact will result t   | rom the aspect in                                   | question. The impac                               | t may be positive or             | negative.                  |
| Rating      | Slim   | Slight  | Plausible   | Probable  | Expected                         | Anticipated                |
| Description | 0 - 9%<br>likelihood                                       | 10 – 25 %<br>likelihood   | 26 - 50%<br>likelihood                              | 51 - 75%<br>likelihood                            | 76 - 90%<br>likelihood           | 91 - 100 %<br>likelihood   |
| Value       | 1  | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5                                | 6                          |
|             | 1  | Ne  | egative Significan                                  | ice   |                                  |                            |
|             |  | (Con  | sequence + Proba                                    | bility)   |                                  |                            |
| Rating      | Negligible   | Low   | Medium  | Medium High                                       | High                             | Very High                  |
| Description | Not harmful  | Slightly harmful  | Harmful   | Very Harmful                                      | Considerably<br>Harmful          | Disaster                   |
| Value       | 5  | 6-10  | 11-15   | 16-20   | 21-25                            | 26-30                      |
|             |  | P   | ositive Significan                                  | ce  |                                  |                            |
|             |  | (Con  | sequence + Proba                                    | bility)   |                                  |                            |
| Rating      | Negligible   | Low   | Medium  | Medium High                                       | High                             | Very High                  |
| Description | Insignificant  | Slightly positive   | Positive  | Positive but not substantial.                     | Substantial positive impact.     | Necessity                  |
| Value       | 5  | 6-10  | 11-15   | 16-20   | 21-25                            | 26-30                      |
|             | •  | Mitiga  | tion of negative i                                  | mpact   |                                  |                            |
| Rating      | None   | Likely  | Possible  | Difficult   | Unlikely                         | Not possible               |
| Description | Mitigation not required. Impact remains the same.          | Impact can be avoided with mitigation which has proven results.         | Impact can be minimised and managed with mitigation | Difficult or costly to mitigate.                  | Difficult and costly to mitigate | Impact cannot be mitigated |
|             |  | Manag   | ement of positive                                   | impact  |                                  |                            |
| Rating      | None   | Likely  | Possible  | Difficult   | Unlikely                         | Not possible               |
| Description | Management<br>not required.<br>Impact remains<br>the same. | Impact can be easily enhanced with management which has proven results. | Impact can be enhanced with management              | Difficult or costly<br>to enhance but<br>possible | Difficult and costly to enhance  | Impact cannot be enhanced  |
|             |  |   | Confidence  |   |                                  |                            |
|             | Re   | fers to the confidence  | level the EAP has                                   | in predicting the imp                             | oact.                            |                            |
| Rating      | Low  | Medium low  | Medium  | Medium High                                       | High                             | Very High                  |